

## The 2013 Berlaymont Declaration on Endocrine Disrupters

In June 2012 the European Commission convened a conference of international scientists, representatives of interest groups, and European Union (EU) Member States in the Berlaymont complex in Brussels to discuss forthcoming policy initiatives for endocrine disrupters. The meeting was part of a wider consultation in preparation for regulatory activities for this group of chemicals. Several interest groups have already articulated their positions.

As scientists actively engaged in endocrine disrupter research we welcome the initiatives of the European Commission. European Union (EU)-funded research was instrumental in substantiating the plausibility that endocrine disrupters might lead to serious, irreversible human and wildlife health effects. As the first major economic area to target endocrine disrupters, the EU has the opportunity to put in place standards that will be exemplary for health and environmental protection policies in other regions of the world. We wish to express our views on this important topic and call on the European Commission to implement regulatory measures that are in line with the best available science.

1. We are concerned that the prevalence of endocrine-related diseases is higher than it has ever been. The disease burden continues to increase in the EU and globally.
2. Evidence is strengthening that environmental factors, including chemical exposures, play a role in these phenomena.
3. European wildlife is also affected and some effects are widespread.
4. Animal experiments and some human health studies have shown that exposure to endocrine disrupters during developmental periods can cause irreversible harm that becomes apparent long after these exposures took place.
5. Internationally agreed test methods currently capture only some of these effects and are inadequate for revealing the full range of the effects of EDCs.
6. Existing EU chemicals regulations are entirely inadequate for identifying EDCs, and internationally validated test methods that have been available for years have not been implemented.
7. Some proposals for regulating EDCs from EU Member States are not sufficiently protective, do not follow the best available science, and place commercial interests above the protection of human and wildlife health.
8. Certain EDCs have toxicological properties that preclude the definition of thresholds below which exposures can be deemed safe.
9. There is the plausibility that EDCs cause serious, irreversible harm, but more data are needed for better risk assessment. This tension can only be resolved by developing a targeted research strategy for endocrine disrupters as part of Horizon 2020 which should aim at better exposure assessment, assay development and human health studies.
10. We call on the European Commission to implement a regulatory regime for EDCs that is based on sound scientific principles.

Although uncertainties in risk assessment remain, European Commission-funded research has greatly contributed to substantiating the plausibility of serious, irreversible harm from endocrine disrupters. Scientific uncertainty should not delay regulatory action and commercial interests must not take precedent over concerns about risks associated with endocrine disrupters.

Our position is based on the following scientific observations and research findings which have been laid out in more detail in recent reports from the European Environment Agency, in a European Commission – funded report and an assessment conducted under the auspices of the World Health Organisation and the United Nations Environmental Programme<sup>1</sup>:

1. We are concerned that the prevalence of endocrine-related diseases continues to increase in the European Union and globally. This is not well recognised by the public and largely ignored by policy makers in EU Member States.
  - In some EU Member States large proportions of young men have semen quality so poor that it will seriously affect their chances of siring children. At the same time, congenital malformations such as hypospadias (malformations of the penis) and non-descending testes are increasing or levelling off at unfavourably high levels. Many Member States have not given attention to this issue and have not initiated relevant research studies.
  - There is a dramatic rise in breast cancer in Eastern and Southern European EU Member States. In West European countries, where breast cancer is more prevalent, incidences increase more slowly or are levelling off at rates much higher than 30 years ago.
  - With the exception of high prevalence countries such as The Netherlands and Austria, all EU countries are experiencing strong rises in prostate cancer. Similar trends exist for other hormonal cancers, including those of the testes, endometrium, ovaries and thyroid.
  - Neurobehavioural disorders, and thyroid diseases and disorders affecting brain development, represent a high and increasing pediatric disease burden in countries where these disease trends have been followed.
  - The prevalence of obesity and its comorbidity factors, type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome, have increased dramatically in almost all EU Member States.
  
2. We recognise that multiple causes underlie these trends, including nutrition or maternal and paternal age. However, because of the rapid pace with which these increases have occurred, explanations solely in terms of genetics, better diagnosis or life style lack plausibility. Evidence is strengthening that environmental factors, including chemical exposures, also play a role in these disease trends, but the chemicals involved are difficult to pinpoint. The

---

<sup>1</sup> European Environment Agency (2012) The impacts of endocrine disrupters on wildlife, people and their environment – the Weybridge +15 report. <http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/the-impacts-of-endocrine-disrupters>

Kortenkamp A, Martin, O, Faust M, Evans R, McKinlay R, Orton F, Rosivatz E (2012) State of the art assessment of endocrine disrupters. DG Environment project contract number 070307/2009/550687/SER/D3. [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/endocrine/documents/4\\_SOTA%20EDC%20Final%20Report%20V3%206%20Feb%2012.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/endocrine/documents/4_SOTA%20EDC%20Final%20Report%20V3%206%20Feb%2012.pdf)

UNEP WHO (2013) State of the science of endocrine disrupting chemicals – 2012 (Editors: Bergman A, Heindel JJ, Jobling S, Kidd KA, Zoeller RT.

<http://www.unep.org/hazardoussubstances/Portals/9/EDC/StateOfEDCScience.pdf>

full range of contributing chemical exposures is not known, but some associations have come to light:

- Testis maldescent in young boys has been linked with exposure to DES during pregnancy, certain polybrominated diphenyl ethers used as flame retardants, and occupational pesticide exposure.
  - High exposures to polychlorinated dioxins, certain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (in women who lack some detoxifying enzymes) and DDE (in early life) have been shown to be risk factors in breast cancer.
  - Prostate cancer risks were in some studies related to occupational exposures to pesticides (of an unidentified nature), to certain PCBs and to arsenic.
  - Developmental neurotoxicity with negative impacts on brain development has been linked with lead, methylmercury and PCBs.
3. There is a worldwide decline in biodiversity of wildlife species and it is plausible that chemical exposures are playing a role in this. Wildlife populations have been affected by endocrine disrupters, with adverse effects on growth and reproduction. Some of these effects are widespread.
- Seal colonies in heavily polluted areas of the Baltic and North Seas have been affected by female reproductive pathologies, bone damage and reproductive failure which correlate with exposure to persistent organic pollutants (POPs), especially PCBs. Concomitant with a decline in PCB exposures the populations are recovering.
  - Increased POP burdens in lesser black-backed gulls in Norway correlated with skewed sex ratios in favour of female chicks. When gulls are in poor condition they hatch more female chicks.
  - A large number of amphibians are highly threatened with extinction, and there are indications of an involvement of endocrine disrupters.
  - Especially in the UK, male fish have been widely affected by increased levels of the egg yolk protein vitellogenin and by intersex. This is attributed to exposure to sewage effluents which contain estrogenic and anti-androgenic chemicals.
  - The use of tributyltin and triphenyltin as anti-foulants on ship hulls have triggered the collapse of commercially important oyster populations and snail species. Reductions in exposure have led to a recovery of these populations.
4. Extensive laboratory studies and some human health studies have shown that interference with hormone action during critical periods of development can cause irreversible and delayed effects that do not become evident until later in life. These insights highlight the need to focus on exposures during windows of heightened sensitivity coupled with the evaluation of the full range of adverse effects later in life. There is the danger that important hazards are overlooked if testing is conducted outside these periods.
- In rodent experiments, certain dicarboximide, imidazole andazole pesticides can interfere with androgen action during fetal life, when male development is programmed. Some of the effects only become apparent in adult life and are

irreversible; these include malformations of reproductive organs. Exposure to these chemicals in adult life does not induce such effects.

- Epidemiological studies show that exposure to dioxin (TCDD) around the time of birth has a negative impact on semen quality. With exposure during puberty, the opposite effect occurs, while exposure during adulthood has no influence on semen quality.
- Rodents exposed to estradiol and estrogenic chemicals around the time of birth suffer interferences with a brain signalling system that sets the timing of puberty. Exposure during other life stages does not produce this effect.
- The development of the female reproductive system is programmed in fetal life and can be disrupted at this stage by signalling from chemicals such as DES, with malformations being one consequence.
- The action of thyroid hormones during development in the womb is essential for many developmental landmarks, including the development of the brain and the neuro-endocrine system. Disruption of thyroid action by chemical exposures at this stage of development can have detrimental and irreversible effects.

5. Internationally agreed and validated test methods (OECD) for the identification of endocrine disrupters capture only a segment of the known range of endocrine disrupting effects, mainly focused on estrogenicity, (anti)androgenicity and thyroid disruption (“EAT”). Other aspects of the endocrine system(s) are not considered, although it is clear that the complexity of endocrine systems cannot be reduced to EAT. This introduces considerable uncertainties, and the likelihood of overlooking harmful effects in humans and wildlife is high.

- For many endocrine disrupting effects, internationally agreed and validated test methods do not exist, although scientific tools and laboratory methods are available.
- For a large range of human health effects, viable laboratory models are missing altogether. This seriously hampers progress with understanding the full extent of risks.

6. Important pieces of EU chemicals regulation are entirely inadequate for capturing endocrine disrupting effects. Even internationally validated and well established test systems that have been available for over a decade have not been implemented. Any measures aimed at protecting humans and wildlife from endocrine disrupters will be ineffective if testing requirements are not updated to incorporate endocrine disrupter testing.

- The current testing and information requirements defined for industrial and commercial chemicals (REACH) and for plant protection products (PPPR) are not geared towards the identification of endocrine disrupting chemicals. The relevant regulations and directives (e.g. 544/2011 and 545/2011) require urgent updates to include the best available science.
- Testing with the most sensitive and appropriate endpoints and with exposure regimens that cover periods of heightened sensitivity during development is currently not mandatory. As a result, many endocrine disrupting chemicals are not identified.

7. Proposals for the regulation of endocrine disrupting pesticides from certain EU Member States do not follow scientifically sound principles and are not sufficiently protective. By regulating as few endocrine disrupters as possible they place commercial interests above the protection of human and wildlife health.
  - These proposals focus on the use of potency-based cut-off values as the basis for classifying pesticides as endocrine disrupters. We are concerned that these values set the bar too high, with the serious possibility that hardly any substance will be classified as an endocrine disrupter in the regulatory sense, thus effectively undermining the intention of the legislation.
  - Given the likelihood of mixture effects from exposures to numerous EDCs with similar effect profiles, even EDCs considered to be weakly potent are of concern because they may add to the combined effect.
  
8. We are concerned that the discussion about so-called low dose effects has paid too little attention to the possibility that many endocrine disrupters may act without thresholds because of pre-existing internal exposures to natural hormones and, in the case of background exposures, to substances with similar effect profiles.
  - In such situations, endocrine disrupters entering a biological system will add to the internal load, without having to overcome a dose threshold. This is particularly relevant to estrogenic agents and to chemicals with effects similar to dioxins.
  - It is plausible that these types of endocrine disrupters will act independent of thresholds, as would be expected, e.g., for genotoxic carcinogens. This should be considered during the ongoing REACH revision.
  
9. In the foreseeable future, endocrine disrupter regulatory activities will have to cope with the tension between the plausibility of serious, irreversible damage and the delays in generating the data that are indispensable for comprehensive risk assessment. This tension can only be resolved by promoting further research into endocrine disrupters. We call on the European Commission to implement in Horizon 2020 a targeted endocrine disrupter research programme, with a focus on:
  - *Exposure assessments and the identification of substances with endocrine disrupting properties:* There is a serious mismatch between substances that are well-researched and the vast numbers of chemicals in commercial use, for which endocrine disrupting properties have not been investigated very well, if at all. Despite years of endocrine disrupter research, the full spectrum of chemicals that might contribute to endocrine-related diseases and wildlife effects is unknown. This deadlock can only be broken by dedicated research strategies that harness the recent advances in unbiased chemical analytical technologies.
  - *Assay development:* Important aspects of endocrine disruption cannot be investigated, because suitable laboratory models and assessment criteria are missing altogether. This is especially relevant in the areas of hormonal carcinogenesis, female reproductive health, metabolic syndrome, obesity, and neuro-endocrine effects. Assays and assessment methodologies for many wildlife

phyla and taxa are absent and fundamental research on the endocrinology of many invertebrate taxa is necessary. Concerted research and development efforts are urgently needed to fill these gaps.

- *Research in support of better human health studies:* Due to the lack of relevant laboratory models for numerous health effects, endocrine disrupter research will have to depend on epidemiological studies in the foreseeable future. But human epidemiology faces considerable difficulties in recognising the health risks that might stem from endocrine disrupters. Complications arise mainly from the time lag between disease causation and the diagnosis of health effects, the absence of methodologies for dealing with exposures to multiple chemicals, and the lack of information about the full spectrum of chemicals that might contribute to risks. The tissues collected in many existing cohorts are not geared towards ascertaining exposures to relevant endocrine disrupters, and towards dealing with exposures during critical life stages. This can only be resolved by allocating resources to set up new cohorts, with carefully planned chemical analytical strategies and clear hypotheses.

10. We call on the European Commission to implement a regulatory regime for endocrine disrupters that is based on sound scientific principles. Although uncertainties remain, European Commission-funded research has greatly contributed to substantiating the plausibility of serious, irreversible harm stemming from endocrine disrupters. Scientific uncertainty should therefore not delay regulatory action. Commercial interests must not take precedent over concerns about risks associated with endocrine disrupters. More specifically, we call on the European Commission to:

- Implement a regulatory regime that classifies endocrine disrupters by using weight-of-evidence approaches. Schemes based on cut-off values for potency are scientifically indefensible and are too formulaic to accommodate the subtleties needed for scientifically sound judgements.
- Update the testing requirements for chemical substances under REACH, PPPR and the Biocide Regulation to include effects of endocrine disrupters. Endocrine disrupter regulation will fail if these steps are not taken.
- Develop a targeted research strategy for endocrine disrupters as part of Horizon 2020. This strategy should aim to fill the gaps left by previous efforts, namely in terms of exposure assessment, assay development and better human health studies.

#### **Signatories (Oct. 22, 2013)**

Dr Aennes Abbas	Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
Dr Lutz Ahrens	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
Dr Anna Maria Andersson	Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
Prof Patrik Andersson	Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
Dr Allan Astrup Jensen	Nordic Institute of Product Sustainability, Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology (NIPSECT), Frederiksberg, Denmark
Prof Stelvio M. Bandiera	University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
Dr Ricardo Barra	University of Concepcion, Concepcion, Chile

Prof Bert van Bavel	Orebro University, Örebro, Sweden
Dr Alice Baynes	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Prof Georg Becher	Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
Prof Martin van den Berg	Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
Prof Åke Bergman	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Dr Anna Beronius	Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Nancy Bixian Mai	Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
Prof Bruce Blumberg	University of California at Irvine, Irvine, USA
Dr Daniel Borg	Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Carl-Gustaf Bornehag	Karlstad University, Karlstad, Sweden
Prof Riana Bornman	University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
Dr Magnus Breitholz	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Alex Burdorf	Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
Dr Carmen Cabanelas Pazos de Moura	Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Dr Gustavo Chiang	University of New Brunswick, Saint John, Canada
Dr Theo Colborn	TEDX, The Endocrine Disruption Exchange, Paonia, CO, USA
Dr Lilian Corra	International Society of Doctors for the Environment, ISDE and Astociación Argentina de Médicos por el Medio Ambiente, AAMMA, Argentina
Dr Laura Costas Caudet	Catalan Institute of Oncology, IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain
Prof Michael S. Denison	University of California at Davis, Davis, USA
Dr Miriam Diamond	Toronto University, Toronto, Canada
Dr Bruno L. Diaz	Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Dr Joseph DiGangi	IPEN, Berkeley, USA
Prof Sijun Dong	Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen, China
Dr Marjorie van Duursen	Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
Dr Sibylle Ermler	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Dr Beate Escher	National Research Centre for Environmental Toxicology (Entox), The University of Queensland, Qld, Australia
Dr Michael Faust	F+B Environmental Consulting, Bremen, Germany
Dr Vincente Felipo	Research Centre Principe Felipe, Valencia, Spain
Dr Mariana Fernandez	University of Granada, Granada, Spain
Dr Jane Firth	LGC Standards, Teddington, United Kingdom
Prof Bernd Fischer	Martin Luther University, Halle, Germany
Prof Paul Fowler	University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom
Dr Hanne Frederiksen	Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
Dr Heloise Frouin	Fisheries and Oceans, Life Oceans Institute, Sidney, Canada
Dr Geir Wing Gabrielsen	The Norwegian Polar Institute, Tromsø, Norway
David Gee	European Environment Agency, Copenhagen
Dr Arno C. Gutleb	Centre de Recherche Public – Gabriel Lippmann, Luxembourg
Dr Margareta Halin Lejonklou	Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
Prof Ulla Hass	National Food Institute, Danish Technical University, Copenhagen, Denmark
Dr Eldbjørg Sofie Heimstad	Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Tromsø, Norway
Prof Elizabeth Hill	University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
Prof Helen Håkansson	Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Taisen Iguchi	National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, Japan
Prof Kristina Jakobsson	Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Prof Bernard Jegou	INSERM, Rennes University, France
Prof Susan Jobling	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Prof Andrew Johnson	Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, United Kingdom
Dr Bernt Jones	Swedish Agricultural University, Uppsala, Sweden
Dr Marion Junghans	as a private person
Prof Anders Juul	Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
Prof Rakesh Kanda	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Dr Daniele Kasper	National Institute for Amazon Research, Manaus, Brazil
Dr Ioanna Katsiadaki	CEFAS Weymouth Laboratory, Weymouth, United Kingdom
Prof Karen A Kidd	University of New Brunswick, New Brunswick, Canada
Dr Junko Kimura-Kuroda	Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, Tokyo, Japan
Prof Thomas Klonisch	University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada
Prof Roland Kallenborn	Norwegian University of Life Science, Aas, Norway
Dr Gabriella Kallimasia	Medical School, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
Prof Andreas Kortenkamp	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Dr Petra Kunz	Swiss Centre for Applied Ecotoxicology Eawag/EPFL, Switzerland
Dr Carol Kwiatkowski	TEDX, The Endocrine Disruption Exchange, Paonia, CO, USA
Prof Vincent Laudet	Ecole Normale Supérieure, Lyon, France
Prof Juliette Legler	Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Prof Walter Lichtensteiger	GreenTox and University of Zurich, Switzerland
Dr Monica Lind	Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
Dr Rainer Lohmann	University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, USA
Dr Mirek Machala	Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic
Dr Olwenn Martin	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Dr Piedad Martin-Olmedo	Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública, Granada, Spain
Prof Jesus del Mazo	Biological Research Centre (CSIC), Madrid, Spain
Dr Linda Molander	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Sverker Molander	Chalmers University, Goeteborg, Sweden
Dr Jane Muncke	FP Forum, Zurich, Switzerland
Prof Angel Nadal	Miguel Hernandez University, Elche (Alicante), Spain
Dr Christine Nellesmann	Danish Technical University, Copenhagen, Denmark
Prof Polyxeni Nicolopoulou-Stamati	Medical School of National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
Prof Leif Norrgren	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
Prof Jon Øyvind Odland	Institute of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway
Dr Kristín Ólafsdóttir	University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland
Prof Nicolas Olea	University of Granada, Granada, Spain
Prof Per-Erik Olsson	Orebro University, Orebro, Sweden
Dr Rodrigo Orrego Fuentealba	University of Antofagasta, Antofagasta, Chile
Prof Agneta Oskarsson	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
Prof Roberta Pastorelli	Pharmacology Research Institute, Mario Negri, Milan, Italy
Dr Johanna Penell	Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
Prof Miquel Porta	Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
Dr Tom Pottinger	Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster, United Kingdom
Dr Jean Remy Davee Guimaraes	Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Dr Anna Rignell Hydbom	Lund University, Lund, Sweden
Dr Per Roos	Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Eric Ropstad	Norwegian School of Veterinary Sciences, Oslo, Norway
Dr Edwin Routledge	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom



Prof Christina Rudén	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Yanling Qiu	Tongji University, Shanghai, China
Dr Martin Scheringer	Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zürich, Switzerland
Prof Margret Schlumpf	GreenTox and University of Zurich, Switzerland
Prof Heqing Shen	Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen, China
Dr Elisabete Silva	Brunel University, United Kingdom
Prof Niels E Skakkebaek	Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
Dr Line Småstuen Haug	Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
Dr Brita Sundelin	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Dr Ana Catarina Almeida Sousa	CICS-UBI - Health Sciences Research Centre, University of Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal
Prof Olle Söder	Karolinska Institute at Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Carlos Sonnenschein	Tufts University, Boston, USA
Prof Ana Soto	Tufts University, Boston, USA
Dr Kugathas Subramaniam	Brunel University, London, United Kingdom
Prof Shanna Swan	School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA
Prof Shu Tao	Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China
Dr Kevin V. Thomas	Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway
Dr Cathrine Thomsen	Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
Prof Margareta Tornqvist	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Prof Jorma Toppari	University of Turku, Finland
Prof Charles Tyler	University of Exeter, United Kingdom
Steven Verhaegen	Norwegian School for Veterinary Science, Oslo, Norway
Prof Anne Marie Vinggaard	National Food Institute, Danish Technical University, Copenhagen, Denmark
Dr Martin Wagner	Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
Dr. Roland Weber	POPs Environmental Consulting, Goepingen, Germany
Prof Karin Wiberg	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
Dr Bernice Wiberg	Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden
Prof Cynthia de Wit	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
Dr Zhiyong Xie	Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Institute of Coastal Research, Geesthacht, Germany
Dr Leticia Yanez Estrada	Medical Faculty, University of Mexico, San Lois Potosi, Mexico
Professor Guang-Guo Ying	Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
Prof Daqiang Yin	Tongji University, Shanghai, China
Prof Zhiliang Zhu	Tongji University, Shanghai, China
Prof R Thomas Zoeller	University of Massachusetts, USA
Dr Marlene Ågerstrand	Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

*Affiliations are given for identification purposes only – all signatories have signed in a personal capacity*